1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 7 8 9 BRIAN CHARLES STATELY, 10 Petitioner, Case No. 3:11-CV-00673-LRH-(VPC) 11 VS. **ORDER** JACK PALMER, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 The court directed petitioner to show cause why this action should not be dismissed because 15 he had failed to exhaust his available remedies in the state courts. Order (#13). Petitioner has not 16 17 responded within the allotted time. 18 Reasonable jurists would not find the court's conclusions to be debatable or wrong, and the 19 court will not issue a certificate of appealability. 20 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for petitioner's failure to exhaust his available state-court remedies. The clerk of the court shall enter 21 judgment accordingly. 22 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. 23 DATED this 16th day of May, 2012. 24 25 26 27 LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 28